



LAS VEGAS

SOUTHERN NEVADA HEALTH DISTRICT



LOCAL LANDSCAPE

Population, 2016: **632,912**

Median income, 2015: **\$50,202**

Annual costs (family of 4), 2014: **\$68,289**

Number of abortion clinics: **≥ 6**

Number of Title X clinics: **2**

Number of SBHCs: **5**

Number of CPCs: **~ 4**

Unintended pregnancy: N/A

Maternal mortality: N/A

Teen births, 2012-2014: **29.3** per 1,000, ages 15-19*

PROTECTING ABORTION CLINIC ACCESS



- Clinic safety ordinance
- Regulations on crisis pregnancy centers
- No funding for crisis pregnancy centers

SUPPORTING YOUNG PEOPLE



- Support for pregnant and parenting youth
- Sexuality education policy: *Abstinence-plus education**
- Reproductive health care in school-based health centers

ADVANCING INCLUSIVE POLICIES



- Anti-discrimination ordinance for municipal employees on:
- Reproductive health decisions
 - Gender identity
 - Pregnancy

FUNDING AND COVERAGE FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH CARE



- Funding for abortion
- Funding for family planning*
- Funding for STI prevention*
- Funding for sexuality education*
- Municipal insurance coverage of abortion

SUPPORTING FAMILIES



- Supportive breastfeeding policies
- Paid family leave
- \$15 minimum wage
- Support for undocumented people to access care

- Anti-discrimination ordinance for all employees on:
- Reproductive health decisions
 - Gender identity
 - Pregnancy

TAKING A STAND



- Resolution passed on:
- Support for anti-discrimination policies
 - Opposition to crisis pregnancy centers
 - Support for abortion coverage
 - Pro-choice stance on legislation or ballot initiatives
 - Opposition to sex-selective abortion bans

SPOTLIGHT: Advocacy for Comprehensive Sexuality Education

Following years of local advocacy, heated community meetings, and even a Daily Show appearance by young Las Vegans, in 2016, the Clark County School Board improved its sexuality education policy, adding information about sexual assault, sexually transmitted infections, and contraception. Young people participated in the process both through advocacy and formally, as non-voting members of the Sex Education Advisory Committee of the School Board. Although this policy change is positive for students and advocates, the curriculum remains abstinence-based and is not LGBTQ-inclusive. Further, Nevada's state policy requires that parents opt students in to sex education, forming another significant barrier to access.

KEY
 Yes
 No
L Limited
P Preempted
N/A Data not available
 * County-level data